Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00709R000400070084-0

OGC Has Reviewed

13 October 1947

MEMOHARDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE FOR I & 8

Subject: Congressional Inquiries

l. We refer to your memorandum dated 30 September on Release of Information to Congress. Paragraph 4(f) of that memorandum suggests that classified material carry an appropriate procautionary notice to proclude further unauthorized dissemination. The two phrases suggested were:

- (a) This document is furnished for use of the recipient only. Reproduction, quotation, or further dissemination is not authorised without specific authority of the Director of Central Intelligence.
- (b) This document contains information affecting the maticual defense of the United States within the meaning of the Empionage Act, 50 USC 31 and 32, as enemied. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any number to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

The question has now arisen whether either of these warnings would have any effect in view of the Congressmen's oristitutional immunity from responsibility for his statements. A review of the law indicates that this immunity is absolute when the statements are made on the floor of the House or Semate and extends to publication of the Congressional Record or the published hearings of the Congressional Committee. Under such circumstances, any warning would marely put the legislator on notice with the nature of the information, but in no way binding. In such cases, disciplinary action could be taken only by his fellow Congressmen.

- 2. In the case of disclosures made by Congressmen outside of Congress, the immunity would not apply. Members of Congress are subject to prosecution in the same manner as other private citizens. Consequently, a warning would be of real weight in precluding unauthorized disclosures outside of Congress.
- form set forth in (b) above is preferable and more effective,

SIA-KEI 64-00/09R000400070084-0

Executive for I & S

100

15 October 1947

In any case, we have in our experience in the past found that such a statement printed or stamped noticeably on designants going outside the Agency was noticeably effective in the care-that handling of information by the recipient.

Cannal Counsel